UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,371	04/25/2001	Diane C. Breidenbach		6561
97792 Thomas A. O'R	7590 12/21/201 ourk e	EXAMINER		
425 Broadhollo		NGUYEN, TUAN N		
Melville, NY 11747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occurs	09/842,371	BREIDENBACH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tuan N. Nguyen	3751		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Dec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27,29,32-49,51,52 and 55-64 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-39,51,56 and 62-6 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27,29,40-49,52,55 and 57-61 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	64 is/are withdrawn from consider rejected.	ation.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2010 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the other contents. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	A) □ 1-4 : 0	(PTO 412)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/842,371 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/13/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While applicant is arguing the important of the surface roughness of the roller ball with respect to the viscosity of the dispensing product, nowhere in the original specification actually discloses the criticality of such relationship. However, the first paragraph of page 10 discusses the variety of product that can be utilized with the disclosed container which "can be a liquid, a semi-liquid or pasty consistency or a solid." Therefore, the previous rejection is maintained as indicated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-27, 29, 40-49, 52, 55 and 57-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,897,626 (hereinafter Bratby-Carey) in view of US Patent 2,663,891 (hereinafter Hanryon) and US Patent 6,276,856 (hereinafter Pieper) as set forth in the previous office action.

The added language of the surface roughness "of said roller ball being selected to be within a range of a smooth to a slightly rough surface roughness depending upon" the product's viscosity is well within the skill of an artisan in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim

Art Unit: 3751

are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tuan N Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751

TN